



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/366,896	08/04/1999	PAUL NORMAN BURGESS	50001-10030	3637
75	90 09/25/2002			
THE HILL LAW FIRM, LTD. 19 SOUTH LASALLE STREET SUITE 1402			EXAMINER	
			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
CHICAGO, IL	60603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/366,896	BURGESS, PAUL NORMAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William J Deane	2642			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	<u> August 1999</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa					
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under a ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
· <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* (Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	 The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/366,896

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 5, 9 and 11 - 12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,085,081 (Leskinen).

With respect to claims 1 and 9, Leskinen teaches the claimed device and method as shown at Col. 3, line 22 – Col. 4, line 9, see also the abstract and claim 1).

Note that the device is only for outgoing calls (Col. 3, lines 11 – 15 and Col. 4, lines 35 – 39).

With respect to claims 11 and 12, such is inherent from the above.

Claims 13 -18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,327,353 (Fukuzawa et. al.).

With respect to these claims see the Abstract and Col. 6, lines 30 - 33.

Application/Control Number: 09/366,896

Art Unit: 2642

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leskinen in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,722,067 (Fougnies et al.).

With respect to claim 2 - 4 and 6 - 8, Leskinen teaches the claimed device except for assigning a currently assigned telephone number with the communications device for billing using ANI.

Fougnies et al. teach using ANI for billing purposes to a predetermined or preselected number (see Abstract, Summary of the Invention and claims). There is no reason why the pre-selected number could not already be a currently assigned number e.g. an office or home number with a prepaid account. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the Leskinen device and method ANI for billing purposes to an assigned number as taught by Fougnies et al. as such would only entail the substitution of one smart card for another.

With respect to claim 3, one a number is associated with a telephone number it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use the association for maintenance billing and etc.

Application/Control Number: 09/366,896

Art Unit: 2642

With respect to claims 7 and 10, obviously once the account is canceled the preselected number would be reused. With respect to claim 10 note Col. 4, lines 13 – 18. It would have been obvious to have provided the Leskinen device and method with the ability to assign temporary numbers as taught by Fougnies et al. in order for the Leskinen device to receive a incoming calls.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leskinen in view of Fukuzawa et al.

Leskinen teaches the claimed device except for the temporary assigning of unassigned telephone numbers in which the assigning of temporary numbers is initiated by a phone call. However, Fukuzawa et al. teach such limitations (see Abstract, Summary of Invention and Claim 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the Leskinen device and method with the ability to initiate usage of a temporary number via phone call as taught by Fukuzawa et al. in order to receive incoming calls.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- U.S. Patent No. 6,393,117 (Trell) note Abstract;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,272,214 (Jonsson) use of temporary numbers;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,163,606 (Otto) note plurality of lines connected to switch Abstract;
 - U.S. Patent No. 6,130,935 (Shaffer et al.) note billing;

Art Unit: 2642

U.S. Patent No. 5,966,654 (Croughwell et al.) – note recyclable telephone numbers;

- U.S. Patent No. 5,729,598 (Kay) note billing- Abstract;
- U.S. Patent No. 5,657,373 (Hermansson et al.) note title; and
- U.S. Patent No. 4,734,928 (Weiner et al.) note title

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (703) 306-5838. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 872-9314.

15Sep02

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PATENT EXAMINER